Reactions Involving Fluoride Ion. Part VII.¹ Reactions of Polyfluoroethylenes with Pentafluoropyridine and Tetrafluoropyridazine †

By Richard D. Chambers • and Michael Y. Gribble, Department of Chemistry, University Science Laboratories, South Road, Durham

Reactions induced by fluoride ion of the olefins CF_2 =CFX (X = CI, Br, or H) with pentafluoropyridine (I) and with tetrafluoropyridazine (VII) are described. With chlorotrifluoroethylene, pentafluoroethyl and chlorotetrafluoroethyl derivatives were obtained. A pseudo- $S_{\rm N}2'$ process, involving attack of fluoride ion at the aromatic ring, is proposed as the mechanism for the displacement of chloride ion. This was confirmed by a reaction involving cyanuric fluoride. Reaction with bromotrifluoroethylene led to pentafluoroethyl derivatives in the case of the pyridazine (VII), via polyfluoroalkylation, but a more complex exchange reaction occurred with the pyridine (I). Reactions with trifluoroethylene gave mainly tars, although a small amount of a product arising from proton abstraction was isolated. Reactions with 1,1-dichlorodifluoroethylene gave products similar to those obtained with chlorotrifluoroethylene in the case of the pyridazine (VII) but no reaction occurred with the pyridine (I).

It is well established that carbanions generated by reaction of perfluoro-olefins with fluoride ion will react with polyfluoroaromatic compounds, to give perfluoroalkyl derivatives² but there is only our preliminary report of similar reactions involving polyfluoro-olefins like chloroor bromo-trifluoroethylene.³ Indeed, only limited information is available 4-6 on the reaction of fluoride ion with these olefins. We have investigated several fluoride ion-induced reactions of bromo- and chloro-trifluoroethylene and of trifluoroethylene itself.

The complexities of the systems are probably most easily appreciated if some of the possible fates of the intermediate carbanions are set out (Scheme 1). Polyfluoroalkylation (1) has been observed in reactions of

$$F^{-} + CF_2 \stackrel{\frown}{=} CF_3 \stackrel{\frown}{C}FX \implies CF_3 \stackrel{\frown}{C}FX \longrightarrow ?$$

X = F, Cl, or Br

(1) Polyfluoroalkylation

$$CF_3 \cdot CFX + Ar_F - F \longrightarrow Ar_F CFX \cdot CF_3 + F$$

(2) Carbene formation

$$CF_3 \cdot \overline{CFX} \longrightarrow X^- + CF_3 \cdot CF; \stackrel{F^-}{\longleftrightarrow} CF_3 \cdot \overline{CF_2}$$

(3) Halogen exchange

(4) Charge transfer

$$CF_3 \cdot \overline{CFX} + Ar_FF \longrightarrow [Ar_FF]^{\dagger} + CF_3 \cdot CFX$$

Scheme 1

hexafluoropropene or tetrafluoroethylene^{1,2} with several activated polyfluoroaromatic systems, but in the reaction

† Presented at the 6th International Symposium on Fluorine Chemistry, Durham, July 1971.

² See earlier parts of the series and references therein.

³ R. D. Chambers, R. A. Storey, and W. K. R. Musgrave, Chem. Comm., 1966, 384.

⁴ W. T. Miller, J. H. Fried, and H. Goldwhite, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 3091.

between tetrafluoroethylene and tetrafluoropyridazine polymers were the major products, and this was attributed to the occurrence of a charge-transfer process (4).¹ Fluoride ion-induced reactions were carried out in an apparatus, operating at atmospheric pressure, which is described in the preceding paper.¹ Chlorotrifluoroethylene reacted with pentafluoropyridine (I) at 90° in tetrahydrothiophen dioxide (THTD) or 2,5,8,11,14-pentaoxapentadecane (POPD) and some of the results are shown in Scheme 2. Polyfluoroalkylation occurs in both cases but is accompanied by loss of chlorine and the effect of solvent is marked in that much more chlorine is lost when THTD is used. The reasons for this difference, however, are not obvious. It is possible to put forward several mechanisms for the displacement of chlorine by fluorine but we must be able to account for the fact that no compounds were isolated which contained more than one polyfluoroalkyl group and also contained chlorine. The most obvious possibility, direct displacement from the olefin (Scheme 3), is unlikely because nucleophilic attack on chlorotrifluoroethylene invariably occurs at the difluoromethylene group.⁷ Also, no tetrafluoroethylene or derived oligomers ^{8,9} were observed; furthermore, the reaction with pentafluoropyridine occurred more rapidly than the corresponding reaction with tetrafluoroethylene itself.1

The chloride ion produced in the reaction of chlorotrifluoroethylene with pentafluoropyridine (I) is particularly reactive, as shown by the fact that 4-chlorotetrafluoropyridine (V) is produced by displacement of fluorine from (I) by chlorine. Reaction of caesium or potassium chloride directly with (I) gives (V) only under forcing conditions.10

Surprisingly, reaction with tetrafluoropyridazine (VII) gave no chlorotetrafluoroethyl derivatives at all, but only

- 7 R. D. Chambers and R. H. Mobbs, Adv. Fluorine Chem., 1965, 4, 50, and references therein.

 - ⁸ D. P. Graham, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1966, **31**, 955.
 ⁹ H. C. Fielding and A. J. Rudge, B.P. 1,082,127/1967.
 ¹⁰ D. Lomas and S. Partington, unpublished results.

¹ R. D. Chambers and M. Y. Gribble, preceding paper.

 ⁵ C. G. Krespan, J. Org. Chem., 1962, 27, 1813.
 ⁶ W. T. Miller and R. Becker, Abstracts of Papers, 145th Meeting, American Chemical Society, New York, September 1963, p. 14M.

pentafluoroethyl compounds, together with some polymer. The polymer is probably produced by a process involving electron transfer [see (4), Scheme 1], similar to that which has been put forward previously to account philic attack at the ring. A benzylic-type displacement process was considered because reaction of the chloro-tetrafluoroethyl derivative (VI) with caesium fluoride produces the pentafluoroethyl compound (II), at 90° .



for a high molecular weight product obtained from tetrafluoroethylene with (VII).¹

$$F^{-} + CFC = CF_{2} \xrightarrow{} [CF_{2}CI - CF_{2}] \xrightarrow{-CI^{-}} CF_{2} = CF_{2}$$

$$F^{-} + Ar_{F}CF_{2} \cdot CF_{3} \xrightarrow{} Ar_{F}F - CF_{3} \cdot CF_{2}^{-}$$

$$SCHEME 3$$

A carbene process [(2), Scheme 1] for loss of chlorine cannot be ruled out, but we were unable to detect any norcarane derivative (X) when chlorotrifluoroethylene



reacted with fluoride ion in the presence of an excess of cyclohexene. However, fluoride ion may compete more successfully for the carbene than cyclohexene.

Nevertheless, we have yet to account for the fact that substituents remote from the chlorotetrafluoroethyl group influence the ease of displacement of chlorine, *i.e.* no chlorine remains in the side chain in either the polysubstituted pyridines or the substituted pyridazines. These substituent effects are more consistent with nucleo-

¹² Y. Kobayashi, I. Kumadaki, S. Taguchi, and Y. Hanzawa, Tetrahedron Letters, 1970, 3901. Such a process could involve more bond making than bond breaking at the transition state where, as has been pointed out, electron-withdrawing substituents in the ring would enhance the reaction rate.¹¹ This could account for most other characteristics so far observed. However, there is a much more likely process, similar to that proposed by Kobayashi for displacement of fluorine

$$CF_{2}=CFCI \stackrel{F^{-}}{\longleftrightarrow} CF_{3} \cdot \tilde{C}FCI \stackrel{-CI^{-}}{\longrightarrow} CF_{3} \cdot \tilde{C}F \xrightarrow{X} O F_{CF_{3}}$$

$$F \downarrow \qquad (X)$$

$$CF_{3} \cdot \tilde{C}F_{2}$$

from 3-trifluoromethylquinoline,¹²⁻¹⁴ which involves a pseudo- $S_N 2'$ sequence. Since this process would involve nucleophilic attack at the ring then it is particularly in



keeping with the observed substituent effects. Furthermore, reaction of chlorotrifluoroethylene with cyanuric fluoride (XI) gave only a chlorotetrafluoroethyl com-

¹¹ C. G. Swain and W. P. Langsdorf, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1951, **73**, 2813.

¹³ Y. Kobayashi, I. Kumadaki, and S. Taguchi, Chem. and Pharm. Bull. (Japan), 1971, 19, 624; 1972, 20, 823.
¹⁴ Y. Kobayashi, I. Kumadaki, S. Taguchi, and Y. Hanzawa

¹⁴ Y. Kobayashi, I. Kumadaki, S. Taguchi, and Y. Hanzawa Chem. and Pharm. Bull. (Japan), 1972, **20**, 1047.

pound (XII) at 70°, which did not undergo chlorine-fluorine exchange with caesium fluoride up to 110°. This



confirms the operation of the pseudo- $S_N 2'$ process because neither (XII) nor (XIII) has carbon atoms available which are *ortho* or *para* to the chlorotetrafluoroethyl groups, for attack by fluoride ion. Nevertheless, reaction of (VI) with methoxide ion leads to substitution of fluorine atoms in the ring rather than replacement of chlorine.



The reaction of bromotrifluoroethylene with pentafluoropyridine (I) took a different course. Polymeric material was produced, together with small amounts of 1,1-dibromotetrafluoroethane (XV). It is clear that the initial anion formed from bromotrifluoroethylene in this



case undergoes exchange for bromine with the olefin [see (3), Scheme 1] to give a vinyl anion (XVII). An exchange process has been proposed by Miller and Becker⁶ to account for the products obtained from the reaction of 1,1-dichlorodifluoroethylene with fluoride ion. The anion (XVII) would react with (I) to give a vinylpyridine (XVIII), which could itself react with fluoride ion to give

¹⁵ R. D. Chambers and M. Y. Gribble, in preparation.

the anion (XIX) which, in turn, would form the observed product (XVI) by reaction with pentafluoropyridine (I). This mechanism was confirmed by reaction of (XVIII), obtained independently,¹⁵ with fluoride ion and (I) under similar conditions; compound (XVI) was obtained in 60% yield.

In contrast, reaction of bromotrifluoroethylene with tetrafluoropyridazine (VII) gave only pentafluoroethyl



derivatives, *i.e.* the reaction was analogous to that with chlorotrifluoroethylene. With longer reaction times or

$$(YII) + CF_2 = CFBr \xrightarrow{CsF, 90^{\circ}}_{THTD} (YIII) (51\%) + (IX)(18\%)$$

higher temperatures, small amounts of perfluoro-tri- and -tetra-ethylpyridazines were obtained (see Experimental section) which were difficult to obtain from corresponding reactions with tetrafluoroethylene.¹ Thus in the case of bromotrifluoroethylene there is competition between polyfluoroalkylation and bromine exchange [see (1) and (3), Scheme 1]. With pentafluoropyridine (I) exchange occurs but, with the more reactive pyridazine system, polyfluoroalkylation is favoured.

Trifluoroethylene gave only tars with tetrafluoropyridazine (VII) and a trace of (XVI) with pentafluoropyridine (I). This almost certainly arises from proton abstraction from the olefin by fluoride ion, which is a very strong base in an aprotic medium,¹⁶ rather than from fluoride addition.



Thus, most of the possibilities outlined in Scheme 1 have been observed, except the carbene process (2) for which there is, so far, no evidence.

1,1-Dichlorodifluoroethylene gives products similar to those obtained by use of chlorotrifluoroethylene with tetrafluoropyridazine (VII), but no reaction occurred with pentafluoropyridine (I). These observations are consistent with the mechanistic proposals which we have already made for reactions involving the trifluoroethylenes. Chlorine leads to a more stable system than fluorine when directly attached to a carbanion centre,¹⁷

¹⁷ J. Hine, N. W. Burske, M. Hine, and P. B. Langford, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1957, **79**, 1406.

 ¹⁶ A. J. Parker, *Quart. Rev.*, 1962, 16, 163; J. Hayami, N. Ono, and A. Kaji, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1968, 1385; I. N. Rozhkov and I. L. Knunyants, *Doklady Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R.* (English trans.), 1971, 199, 622.

and this would account for the greater reactivity of chlorotrifluoroethylene than 1,1-dichlorodifluoroethylene with the pyridine (I). With the more reactive pyridazine

 $F^{-} + CF_{2} = CCI_{2} \iff CF_{3} \cdot \bar{C}CI_{2} \xrightarrow{(YII)} (YIII) (65\%)$ $(XX) \qquad + \qquad (IX) (5\%)$

(VII), attack by the anion (XX) takes place, followed by replacement of chlorine by fluorine via the pseudo- $S_N 2'$

8.84, 9.51, 10.24s, 10.68s, 12.36s, 13.18, 13.76, 13.91s, and 14.38s μ m, ¹⁹F δ 82.1 (CF₃), 88.6 (2- and 6-F), 125.0 (CF), and 137.3 p.p.m. (3- and 5-F) (ratio 3:2:1:2), $J_{CF_3,CF}$ 8, $J_{CF,3,5-F}$ 10 Hz.

The residual involatile material from the reaction flask was poured into water (400 ml) and extracted with ether $(4 \times 25 \text{ ml})$, and the combined extracts were washed with water $(2 \times 10 \text{ ml})$ and dried (MgSO₄). The ether was removed by distillation. Distillation of the residue under vacuum gave a small amount of a yellow oily liquid which did not contain any identifiable products. The results of several experiments are summarised in Table 1.

				TABLE 1							
	Ponation	CF ₂ =CFCl		Volatile			Yields (%) * Conversion				Conversion
Solvent	time (h)	Initially	Recovered (g)	product (g)	Residue (g)	(II) 51	(III)	(IV)	(V) 4	(VI) 15	(%) 78
THTD	4	9∙0 g 77∙2 mmol	2.0	2.9	0.8	51	4	3	т	15	10
THTD	24	12·0 g 103 mmol	3.0	$3 \cdot 1$	1.0	19	8	8	5	17	82
POPD	4	8∙0 g 68∙6 mmol	1.8	$3 \cdot 2$	$1 \cdot 2$		6	4	8	46	67
POPD	24	9∙0 g 77∙2 mmol	$1 \cdot 9$	$3 \cdot 1$	1.0		5	8	9	40	76

[*] Based on C ₅ F ₅ N const	um	ed
---	----	----

TABLE 2

		CF ₂ =CFCl		Volatile	Yields	Conversion	
Solvent	(VII)	Initially	Recovered (g)	product (g)	(VIII)	(IX)	(%)
THTD	4.0 g 26.3 mmol	8.0 g 68.6 mmol	5.0	5.3	57	19	58
POPD	$4.0 \mathrm{~g}$ $26.3 \mathrm{~mmol}$	10∙0 g 85∙8 mmol	$2 \cdot 0$	4.2	41	9	53

* Based on C4F4N2 consumed.

process already outlined, giving pentafluoroethyl derivatives, (VIII) and (IX).

EXPERIMENTAL

For general remarks see preceding paper.

Reactions Involving Chlorotrifluoroethylene.-(a) With pentafluoropyridine (I). The simple apparatus used is described in the preceding paper.¹ In a typical experiment, a dry flask containing caesium fluoride (3.0 g, 20.0 mmol) and THTD (35 ml) was evacuated and then heated to $ca. 90^{\circ}$. The system was then charged with chlorotrifluoroethylene (9.0 g, 77.2 mmol) from a vacuum system until the bladder was inflated, after which compound (I) (3 g, 17.8 mmol) was injected into the flask through a serum cap. The mixture was stirred vigorously, and after 4 h the volatile material (4.3 g) was transferred under high vacuum to a flask contained in liquid air. Fractional distillation of this product gave a gaseous component (2.0 g), identified as chlorotrifluoroethylene by i.r. spectroscopy, and a colourless liquid (2.3 g) which contained compounds (I)—(VI). Quantitative analysis of the mixture was carried out by analytical scale g.l.c. (gas-density balance), and samples were separated with a preparative scale instrument (silicone elastomer on Celite; 80°). Compounds (II)--(V) were identified by spectral comparison with authentic samples obtained previously by other methods, 1, 18 and 4-(1-chlorotetrafluoroethyl) tetrafluoropyridine (VI) was obtained as a liquid (Found: C, 29.8; Cl, 11.9; F, 53.5. C7ClF8N requires C, 30.1; Cl, 12.5; F, $53\cdot2\%$), b.p. 140°, λ_{max} , 6.06, 6.75s, 7.00, 7.77s, 8.11s, 8.25s,

(b) With tetrafluoropyridazine. By use of a procedure similar to that described in (a), compounds (VIII) and (IX) were obtained and identified spectroscopically.¹ The results are summarised in Table 2.

(c) With cyanuric fluoride (XI). Caesium fluoride (3.0 g, 20.0 mmol), sulpholan (30 ml), cyanuric fluoride (XI) (19.0 g, 140.0 mmol), and chlorotrifluoroethylene (24.0 g, 206.0 mmol) were stirred at atmospheric pressure for 24 h at 30° , followed by 88 h at 55° and finally 88 h at 70°. The volatile products consisted of unchanged olefin $(2 \cdot 0 \text{ g})$ and a colourless liquid (23.0 g). G.l.c. analysis of the latter showed unchanged (XI) (60% recovery) as well as a major and a minor product. The components were separated by distillation at atmospheric pressure and the major product was identified as 2-(1-chlorotetrafluoroethyl)-4,6-difluoro-s-triazine (XII) (60%), b.p. 128-129° at 760 mmHg (Found: C, 23.2; Cl, 14.6; F, 44.8%; M, 251 and 253. C₅ClF₆N₃ requires C, 23.8; Cl, 14·1; F, 45·3%; M, 251 and 253), λ_{\max} 6·20s, 6·28s, 6·37s, 6·77s, 6·90, 6·93, 7·09s, 7·68, 8·06s, 8·22s, 8·64, 9·19, 9.50s, 10.51, 11.80s, 12.18s, 13.47, 14.50w, 17.39w, and 18.15w μm, ¹⁹F δ 31·9br (s, 4- and 6-F), 79·9 (CF₃), and 133·7 p.p.m. (CFCl) (ratio 2:3:1), $J_{\text{OF,OF}}$, 6.5 Hz. The mass spectrum of the minor component, contaminated with (XII), indicated the presence of the disubstituted compound (XIII), m/e 367 $(C_7Cl_2F_9N_3^+)$ and 298 (loss of Cl_2).

Reactions Involving Bromotrifluoroethylene.—(a) With pentafluoropyridine (I). A mixture of caesium fluoride (3.0 g, 20.0 mmol), THTD (35 ml), compound (I) (3.0 g, 17.8

¹⁸ H. C. Fielding, B.P. 1,133,492/1968.

mmol), and bromotrifluoroethylene (16·0 g, 100 mmol) was stirred for 2 h at 90° and atmospheric pressure. Removal of volatile material under vacuum gave bromotrifluoroethylene (5·0 g), identified spectroscopically, and a colourless liquid (4·1 g). Analytical-scale g.l.c. (gas density balance; di-isodecyl phthalate; 78°) indicated that the liquid contained 1,1-dibromotetrafluoroethane (XV) (25% based on the olefin consumed) and (I) (17% recovery); samples of each were isolated by preparative scale g.l.c. and identified spectroscopically.

The involatile reaction mixture was washed with water (400 ml), the insoluble material (4·3 g) was separated, and the aqueous solution was extracted with ether. The extract was dried (MgSO₄); evaporation under vacuum gave a dark brown oily liquid (2·45 g) which was then distilled under vacuum. The distillate, an orange oil (1·2 g), was shown by comparison of i.r., ¹⁹F n.m.r., and g.l.c. data, to contain *ca*.

a white crystalline solid (0.9 g). Analytical scale g.l.c. showed that the liquid contained (I) (50% recovery) and (XVIII) (7% recovery). The solid, recrystallised from methanol, was shown to be the *dipyridylethane* (XVI) [60% based on (XVIII) consumed], m.p. 91–92° (Found: C, 35.8; F, 56.5%; *M*, 400. C₁₂F₁₂N₂ requires C, 36.0; F, 57.0%; *M*, 400), $\lambda_{\text{max.}}$ (cyclohexane) 280.5 nm (ε 8251), $\lambda_{\text{max.}}$ 6.05w, 6.79s, 7.00, 7.78s, 7.96, 8.11s, 8.30s, 9.00w, 9.57w, 10.29, 10.46s, 11.73s, 12.70s, 13.52w, 13.88, 14.16, and 14.33s µm, ¹⁹F δ 78.4 (CF₃), 90.4 (2-, 6-F), 139.6 (3-, 5-F), and 164.1 p.p.m. (CF) (ratio 3:4:4:1), $J_{\text{CF,3,5-F}}$ 26, $J_{\text{CF_3,3,5-F}}$ 13.5, $J_{\text{CF,CF_2}}$ 9 Hz.

Reactions of the Chlorotetrafluoroethylpyridine (VI).---(a) With caesium fluoride. A mixture of caesium fluoride (2.0 g, 13.3 mmol), THTD (20 ml), and compound (VI) (0.95 g, 3.3 mmol) was stirred at 90° for 24 h under dry nitrogen. Removal of the volatile products under vacuum gave the

Table	3
-------	---

		CF.=CFBr	Volatile	$\frac{\text{Yields } (\frac{9}{70}) *}{\text{Conver}}$				
Solvent	Temp. (°C)	recovered (g)	product (g)	Residue (g)	(VIII)	(IX)	(%)	
POPD	90	6.0	$2 \cdot 8$	$1 \cdot 0$	30	9	62	
THTD	90	6.0	4 ·0	0.2	51	18	64	
			* Based on C_4F_4	N ₂ consumed.				

35% by weight of (XVI) [ca. 15% yield based on (I) consumed]. This compound was characterised as described later. The residue, which was insoluble in ether, was dissolved in acetone, then water (50 ml) was added to dissolve caesium fluoride and the acetone was removed under vacuum. An aqueous slurry remained which was washed with ether to leave an orange gum (2.7 g), which was neither water- nor ether-soluble and did not contain any identifiable products.

(b) With tetrafluoropyridazine (VII). Reactions were carried out similarly; the results of several experiments are summarised in Table 3. The experiments involved the following quantities: caesium fluoride (3.0 g, 20 mmol), solvent (35 ml), compound (VII) (3.0 g, 19.7 mmol), and bromotrifluoroethylene (16 g, 100 mmol), and a reaction time of 2 h. The products (VIII) and (IX) were identified spectroscopically. When a reaction at 90°, in THTD, was continued for a period of 18 h two additional products were perfluoro-3,4,5-triethylpyridazine ¹ (identified obtained: spectroscopically) and a small amount of perfluorotetraethylpyridazine (Found: C, 25.8; F, 68.2%; M, 552. $C_{12}F_{20}N_2$ requires C, 26·1; F, 68·8%; M, 552), m.p. 37–38°, $\lambda_{max.}$ (cyclohexane) 260br and 356br nm (z 743 and 200), λ_{\max} 7.51, 7.70s, 8.07s, 8.22s, 8.46s, 8.61s, 8.77s, 8.94s, 9.06s, 9.53s, 9.76s, 13.16w, 13.5, 13.62, and 13.79s µm, 19F & 73.2 $(3-, 6-CF_3)$, $80\cdot 8$ $(4-, 5-CF_3)$, $91\cdot 2$ $(3-, 6-CF_2)$, and $108\cdot 8$ p.p.m. (4-, 5-CF₂) (ratio 3:3:2:2).

Synthesis of 1,1-Bis-(2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-pyridyl)tetrafluoroethane (XVI).—Perfluoro-4-vinylpyridine (XVIII)¹⁵ (0.9 g, 4.1 mmol) was added dropwise during 15 min to a stirred mixture of caesium fluoride (0.5 g, 3.3 mmol), THTD (3 ml), and compound (I) (0.9 g, 5.3 mmol) under dry nitrogen at *ca.* 50°. After 45 min volatile products were removed under vacuum, giving a colourless liquid (0.5 g) and perfluoroethyl compound (II) (0.6 g, 60%), identified spectroscopically.

(b) With sodium methoxide. A mixture of compound (VI) (0.5 g, 1.75 mmol), dry methanol (30 ml), and sodium methoxide (1.8 mmol, 1 mol. equiv.) in methanol (42 ml) was stirred at 20° for 4 h, poured into water (300 ml), acidified (dil. hydrochloric acid), and extracted with methylene chloride (4 \times 25 ml). The combined extracts were washed with water $(2 \times 10 \text{ ml})$ and then dried (MgSO₄). Removal of solvent under vacuum gave 4-(1-chlorotetrafluoroethyl)-2,3,5-trifluoro-6-methoxypyridine (XIV) (0.45 g, 85%) (Found: C, 32.0; Cl, 11.9; F, 44.2%; M, 297, 299. C₈H₃ClF₇N requires C, 32·3; Cl, 11·9; F, 44·7%; M, 297, 299), b.p. 192°, λ_{max} (cyclohexane) 301br nm (ε 4265), λ_{max} 3·37w, 6·06w, 6·68s, 6·92s, 7·12, 7·78s, 7·92s, 8·11s, 8·28s, 9.81, 10.08, 10.52, 10.72s, 10.81s, 12.40, 12.57w, 13.91, and 14.55 µm, ¹⁹F 8 83.2 (CF₃), 93.4 (2-F), 125.3 (CF), 137.2 (5-F) and 150.0 p.p.m. (3-F) (ratio 3:1:1:1:1), ¹H δ 4.1 (OMe).

Reaction of 1,1-Dichlorodifluoroethylene with Tetrafluoropyridazine (VII).—A mixture of caesium fluoride (3.0 g, 20 mmol), THTD (25 ml), compound (VII) (3.0 g, 19.7 mmol), and 1,1-dichlorodifluoroethylene (12 g, 90.3 mmol) was stirred at 80° for 2 h. Removal of the volatile material under vacuum, followed by fractionation, gave unchanged olefin (3.2 g) and a colourless liquid (4.6 g) which was analysed by g.l.c. and shown to contain compounds (VII) (1.8 g, 60% recovery), (VIII) (1.45 g, 65%), and (IX) (0.15 g, 5%), identified spectroscopically, together with traces of unidentified material.

We thank the S.R.C. for a Studentship (to M. Y. G.) and Professor W. K. R. Musgrave for his interest.

[3/135 Received, 22nd January, 1973]